

when did nature vs nurture debate begin

when did nature vs nurture debate begin, a question that delves into the very core of human development and identity, marks the beginning of one of the most enduring and complex discussions in psychology, philosophy, and biology. This profound inquiry explores whether our traits, behaviors, and predispositions are primarily shaped by our genetic inheritance (nature) or by the environmental influences and experiences throughout our lives (nurture). While the modern phrasing of "nature vs nurture" emerged relatively recently, the underlying philosophical concepts have roots stretching back millennia, debated by ancient thinkers long before scientific methodologies could offer empirical insights. This article will trace the historical trajectory of this debate, examining its origins in classical philosophy, its re-emergence during the Enlightenment, the pivotal role of Sir Francis Galton in coining the phrase, and how advancements in genetics and neuroscience have reshaped our understanding, moving beyond a simple dichotomy towards an intricate interplay. Understanding the historical progression of this debate reveals how evolving scientific and societal perspectives have continually refined our comprehension of what makes us who we are.

- Introduction to the Enduring Nature vs. Nurture Debate
- Ancient Roots: Pre-Galtonian Philosophical Inquiries into Human Development
- The Enlightenment's Contribution to the Debate on Human Experience
- Sir Francis Galton: Coining the "Nature vs. Nurture" Term
- The Rise of Behaviorism and the Emphasis on Nurture
- The Genetic Revolution and the Resurgence of Nature's Influence
- Modern Perspectives: Beyond Dichotomy to Interaction

- The Contemporary Understanding of Gene-Environment Interaction
- Conclusion: An Evolving Understanding of Nature and Nurture

Ancient Roots: Pre-Galtonian Philosophical Inquiries into Human Development

The philosophical underpinnings of what we now call the nature vs. nurture debate can be traced back to antiquity, long before scientific disciplines existed to empirically investigate such questions. Ancient Greek philosophers, notably Plato and Aristotle, grappled with ideas concerning the origins of human knowledge, character, and abilities. Their discussions, though not framed with the modern terminology, laid foundational thoughts on the influence of innate predispositions versus environmental shaping.

Plato, in his dialogues, often suggested a strong emphasis on innate qualities. He believed that certain knowledge and virtues were inherent, present in the soul from birth, requiring only recollection or awakening through education. For instance, his allegory of the cave implies that true knowledge is remembered rather than learned anew, suggesting an intrinsic capacity for understanding. This perspective leans heavily towards the "nature" side of the debate, positing that fundamental aspects of an individual are predetermined.

Aristotle, while acknowledging some innate tendencies, placed greater emphasis on the role of experience and environment in shaping an individual. He argued that the mind at birth is like a "tabula rasa" or blank slate, and all knowledge and character traits are acquired through sensory experience and interaction with the world. His ethical framework, which stressed the development of virtues through habit and practice, further underscores the importance of nurture. These ancient philosophical viewpoints demonstrate that the fundamental tension between inherited traits and environmental influence has captivated thinkers for millennia, setting the stage for more formalized discussions.

The Enlightenment's Contribution to the Debate on Human Experience

The Enlightenment period, spanning the 17th and 18th centuries, saw a renewed and vigorous discussion regarding human nature, largely propelled by intellectual advancements and a shift towards empiricism. Philosophers of this era re-engaged with questions about the origins of knowledge and identity, often taking opposing stances that foreshadowed the modern nature vs. nurture dichotomy.

One of the most influential figures on the "nurture" side was John Locke, who famously popularized the concept of the "tabula rasa" in his 1689 work, *An Essay Concerning Human Understanding*. Locke argued that at birth, the mind is indeed a blank slate, devoid of innate ideas or knowledge. All our ideas, understandings, and moral principles are derived from sensory experience and reflection upon those experiences. This empiricist view profoundly impacted subsequent thought, suggesting that human character is entirely molded by environmental inputs and education, rather than by any inherent predispositions. Such a perspective implied that with the right environment, any individual could be shaped into anything.

Conversely, thinkers like Jean-Jacques Rousseau, while not strictly advocating for innate knowledge in the Lockean sense, emphasized the inherent goodness and unique potential of individuals, which could be corrupted by societal influences. His concept of the "noble savage" implied a natural, untainted state of humanity that was then shaped (often negatively) by civilization. This viewpoint, though complex, highlights a tension where an innate essence ("nature") interacts with and can be altered by environmental factors ("nurture"), contributing to the evolving dialogue on human development and the origins of individual differences.

Sir Francis Galton: Coining the "Nature vs. Nurture" Term

While the philosophical concepts underpinning the debate existed for centuries, the specific phrase "nature versus nurture" was formally introduced and popularized in the late 19th century by Sir Francis Galton. Galton, an English polymath, statistician, and cousin of Charles Darwin, played a pivotal role in bringing this discussion into the realm of scientific inquiry.

Galton's interest in the topic stemmed from his broader work on heredity and his desire to understand the origins of human intelligence and talent. Inspired by his cousin's theory of evolution by natural selection, Galton sought to apply similar principles to human characteristics. He extensively studied families, particularly those with exceptional achievements, aiming to determine the relative contributions of inherited traits and environmental factors to success and intellect. His investigations led him to conclude that "nature" (heredity) played a far more significant role than "nurture" (environment) in shaping an individual's abilities and character.

In his 1874 book, *English Men of Science: Their Nature and Nurture*, Galton explicitly used the phrase, stating: "The phrase 'nature and nurture' is a convenient jingle of words, for it separates under two distinct heads the innumerable elements of which personality is composed. Nature is all that a man brings with him into the world; nurture is every influence that affects him after his birth." This clear articulation provided a concise framework for future scientific and popular discussions, giving the long-standing philosophical debate a specific lexicon and a push towards empirical investigation, thereby marking a distinct period of when did nature vs nurture debate begin in its modern form.

The Rise of Behaviorism and the Emphasis on Nurture

In the early 20th century, the pendulum of scientific thought swung heavily towards the "nurture" side of the debate with the emergence and dominance of behaviorism, particularly in psychology. This school of thought fundamentally rejected the study of internal mental states and instead focused exclusively on observable behaviors and their environmental causes.

Key figures in behaviorism, such as John B. Watson and B.F. Skinner, argued that virtually all human behavior is learned through interaction with the environment. Watson famously declared in 1924: "Give me a dozen healthy infants, well-formed, and my own specified world to bring them up in and I'll guarantee to take any one at random and train him to become any type of specialist I might select—doctor, lawyer, artist, merchant-chief and, yes, even beggar-man and thief, regardless of his talents, penchants, tendencies, abilities, vocations, and race of his ancestors." This radical environmentalism represented the extreme end of the nurture argument, suggesting that genetic predispositions were largely irrelevant compared to the power of conditioning and reinforcement.

Behaviorists conducted extensive research on learning processes, demonstrating how behaviors could

be acquired, maintained, and extinguished through mechanisms like classical and operant conditioning. Their empirical work provided compelling evidence for the profound impact of environmental influences on development. This period, characterized by a strong belief in the malleability of human nature through environmental control, profoundly shaped educational practices, child-rearing advice, and therapeutic approaches, cementing a strong emphasis on nurture in understanding human development.

The Genetic Revolution and the Resurgence of Nature's Influence

The latter half of the 20th century witnessed a dramatic resurgence in the appreciation for the "nature" side of the debate, largely propelled by groundbreaking advancements in genetics and molecular biology. The discovery of DNA's structure in 1953 by Watson and Crick, followed by the rapid development of genetic sequencing technologies, ushered in an era where the biological underpinnings of life could be directly investigated.

This genetic revolution provided concrete evidence for the role of inherited factors in shaping a vast array of human traits, from physical characteristics and predispositions to certain diseases, to more complex psychological attributes. Research in behavioral genetics, utilizing methodologies like twin studies and adoption studies, began to quantify the heritability of traits such as intelligence, personality, and even susceptibility to mental disorders. These studies consistently revealed significant genetic contributions to individual differences, challenging the purely environmental explanations offered by behaviorism.

Furthermore, the Human Genome Project, completed in 2003, provided an unprecedented map of human genetic code, opening doors for identifying specific genes associated with particular traits or conditions. While the simplistic notion of "a gene for everything" quickly proved inaccurate, the intricate web of genetic influence became undeniable. This period marked a crucial turning point, shifting the focus from an either/or paradigm to acknowledging the profound and undeniable role of inherited genetic material in shaping who we are, fundamentally altering the trajectory of when did nature vs nurture debate begin to focus on empirical data.

Modern Perspectives: Beyond Dichotomy to Interaction

Today, the scientific community largely rejects the simplistic "nature vs. nurture" dichotomy in favor of a more nuanced understanding: that both forces are intricately intertwined and constantly interact to shape human development. This paradigm shift acknowledges the limitations of viewing genetics and environment as separate, competing entities.

The realization that genes do not operate in a vacuum, nor does the environment exert its influence independently of genetic predispositions, has led to the development of several key concepts:

- **Gene-environment correlation:** This refers to situations where an individual's genetic predispositions influence the environments they are exposed to or seek out. For example, a child genetically predisposed to musical talent might be more likely to seek out music lessons (active correlation), or their parents might encourage such lessons (evocative correlation).
- **Gene-environment interaction:** This occurs when the effect of a gene depends on the environment, or vice versa. A classic example is the gene for MAOA and its interaction with childhood maltreatment in predicting antisocial behavior; the gene alone doesn't cause the behavior, nor does maltreatment alone, but the combination significantly increases risk.
- **Epigenetics:** This emerging field studies how environmental factors can turn genes "on" or "off" without altering the underlying DNA sequence. Experiences such as diet, stress, and exposure to toxins can lead to epigenetic modifications that affect gene expression and can even be passed down to subsequent generations. This mechanism provides a biological bridge, showing how nurture can directly influence nature at a molecular level.

These concepts underscore the complexity of human development, where a dynamic interplay, rather than a battle, defines the relationship between genetic inheritance and environmental experience. The modern understanding moves away from asking which factor is more important, to exploring how they

work together, constantly influencing and modifying each other throughout an individual's lifespan.

The Contemporary Understanding of Gene-Environment Interaction

In contemporary research, the focus is squarely on understanding the complex mechanisms through which genes and environments co-construct an individual's traits and behaviors. The idea that "when did nature vs nurture debate begin" now points to a continuous evolution of our understanding, not a static origin. No longer is it a question of one dominating the other; instead, scientists investigate how genetic vulnerabilities or predispositions are expressed (or not expressed) under specific environmental conditions, and how environmental stimuli can alter gene function.

For instance, research into complex psychological disorders like schizophrenia or depression reveals that while there may be a genetic predisposition, the manifestation of the disorder often requires specific environmental triggers, such as significant stress or trauma. Conversely, protective environmental factors, like a supportive family or access to therapy, can mitigate the impact of genetic vulnerabilities. This indicates a high degree of plasticity, where genetic potential is not an absolute destiny but rather a range of possibilities influenced by life experiences.

Furthermore, the concept of developmental plasticity highlights that the timing of environmental exposures can be critical. Experiences during sensitive periods in development can have profound and lasting impacts on gene expression and brain development. This intricate dance between inherited potential and lived experience demonstrates that every trait, every behavior, and every aspect of human identity is the product of this dynamic, ongoing interaction. The current scientific consensus firmly establishes that nature and nurture are inseparable, continually shaping each other in a lifelong process.

Conclusion: An Evolving Understanding of Nature and Nurture

The journey to understand **when did nature vs nurture debate begin** reveals a long and complex history, evolving from ancient philosophical musings to sophisticated scientific inquiry. What started as

a philosophical dichotomy between innate qualities and experiential learning eventually transformed into a rigorous scientific investigation. While Sir Francis Galton formally coined the phrase in the late 19th century, setting the stage for empirical research, the underlying tension has existed for millennia. From the radical environmentalism of early behaviorism to the revelatory insights of modern genetics and epigenetics, our understanding has progressively matured.

Today, the debate has largely moved beyond a simplistic "versus" paradigm. The overwhelming evidence points to an intricate, inseparable interplay where genes influence environments, environments modify gene expression, and both dynamically co-construct the individual throughout the lifespan. This contemporary view emphasizes the continuous interaction and reciprocal influence of genetic predispositions and environmental experiences, shaping everything from our physical attributes and intellectual capacities to our personalities and vulnerabilities. The question is no longer which factor is more dominant, but rather how these powerful forces collaborate in the symphony of human development, continually offering new avenues for research and deeper insights into the essence of what it means to be human.

Q: What is the primary concept behind the nature vs. nurture debate?

A: The nature vs. nurture debate explores whether human traits, behaviors, and predispositions are primarily determined by genetic inheritance (nature) or by environmental influences and experiences (nurture) throughout an individual's life.

Q: When did the philosophical discussions akin to nature vs. nurture first emerge?

A: Philosophical discussions analogous to the nature vs. nurture debate can be traced back to ancient Greece, with thinkers like Plato emphasizing innate knowledge and Aristotle focusing on experience shaping the mind.

Q: Who coined the specific phrase "nature vs. nurture" and when?

A: The specific phrase "nature versus nurture" was coined and popularized by the English polymath and statistician Sir Francis Galton in his 1874 book, *English Men of Science: Their Nature and Nurture*.

Q: How did the Enlightenment period contribute to the debate?

A: During the Enlightenment, philosophers like John Locke advocated for the "tabula rasa" (blank slate) concept, arguing that the mind is shaped entirely by experience, strongly emphasizing the "nurture" aspect of human development.

Q: What role did behaviorism play in the nature vs. nurture debate?

A: In the early 20th century, behaviorism, led by figures like John B. Watson and B.F. Skinner, strongly emphasized "nurture," asserting that virtually all human behavior is learned through environmental conditioning, largely dismissing the role of genetic predispositions.

Q: How did the genetic revolution impact the debate?

A: The genetic revolution, spurred by the discovery of DNA and advancements in genetic sequencing, brought a resurgence of focus on "nature," providing empirical evidence for the significant role of inherited genes in shaping various human traits, intelligence, and predispositions.

Q: What is the modern scientific consensus on nature vs. nurture?

A: The modern scientific consensus moves beyond the "versus" dichotomy, recognizing that nature and nurture are intricately intertwined and constantly interact. Concepts like gene-environment correlation, gene-environment interaction, and epigenetics highlight how genetic predispositions influence environmental exposure and how environments can affect gene expression.

Q: What is epigenetics and how does it bridge nature and nurture?

A: Epigenetics is the study of how environmental factors can cause changes in gene expression without altering the underlying DNA sequence itself. These epigenetic modifications can switch genes "on" or "off," demonstrating a biological mechanism through which "nurture" directly influences "nature."

[When Did Nature Vs Nurture Debate Begin](#)

Find other PDF articles:

<https://explore.gcts.edu/business-suggest-010/Book?dataid=eAm78-4523&title=business-summer-internships.pdf>

Related to when did nature vs nurture debate begin

Dissociative identity disorder - Wikipedia In controlled studies, non-specialised treatment that did not address dissociative self-states did not substantially improve DID symptoms, though there may be improvement in patients' other

Dissociative Identity Disorder (DID): Symptoms & Treatment Dissociative identity disorder (DID) is a mental health condition where you have two or more separate personalities that control your behavior at different times

Dissociative Identity Disorder (Multiple Personality Disorder) Dissociative identity disorder (DID) is a rare condition in which two or more distinct identities, or personality states, are present in—and alternately take control of—an individual

Sean 'Diddy' Combs trial live updates: Diddy sentenced to 4 years in 18 hours ago Combs is scheduled to be sentenced on Friday in New York on two counts of transportation to engage in prostitution

Dissociative Identity Disorder (DID): Syptoms, Causes, and Treatment What Is Dissociative Identity Disorder? Dissociative identity disorder (DID), formerly known as multiple personality disorder, is a complex mental health condition characterized by

Dissociative Identity Disorder (DID) Explained Learn about Dissociative Identity Disorder (DID), its symptoms, treatment, and myths. Get accurate insights and expert information on this complex condition

DID: Types, Symptoms, Causes, Diagnosis, Treatment and More - Health If you or someone you know has DID and is experiencing thoughts of suicide or self-harm, please call or text the National Suicide Prevention Lifeline at 988 for free and

Dissociative Identity Disorder (DID): Symptoms, Test, Specialist DID often co-occurs with other emotional conditions, including posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD), borderline personality disorder (BPD), and a number of other personality disorders, as

What Causes Dissociative Identity Disorder (DID)? - Psych Central Dissociative identity disorder (DID) is a mental health condition with strong links to trauma, especially trauma in childhood. Understanding the causes can help you manage this

DID Explained: Symptoms, Causes, and Support - McLean Hospital DID is associated with

long-term exposure to trauma, often chronic traumatic experiences during early childhood. It is often misunderstood and portrayed incorrectly in

Dissociative identity disorder - Wikipedia In controlled studies, non-specialised treatment that did not address dissociative self-states did not substantially improve DID symptoms, though there may be improvement in patients' other

Dissociative Identity Disorder (DID): Symptoms & Treatment Dissociative identity disorder (DID) is a mental health condition where you have two or more separate personalities that control your behavior at different times

Dissociative Identity Disorder (Multiple Personality Disorder) Dissociative identity disorder (DID) is a rare condition in which two or more distinct identities, or personality states, are present in—and alternately take control of—an individual

Sean 'Diddy' Combs trial live updates: Diddy sentenced to 4 years 18 hours ago Combs is scheduled to be sentenced on Friday in New York on two counts of transportation to engage in prostitution

Dissociative Identity Disorder (DID): Symptoms, Causes, and What Is Dissociative Identity Disorder? Dissociative identity disorder (DID), formerly known as multiple personality disorder, is a complex mental health condition characterized by

Dissociative Identity Disorder (DID) Explained Learn about Dissociative Identity Disorder (DID), its symptoms, treatment, and myths. Get accurate insights and expert information on this complex condition

DID: Types, Symptoms, Causes, Diagnosis, Treatment and More - Health If you or someone you know has DID and is experiencing thoughts of suicide or self-harm, please call or text the National Suicide Prevention Lifeline at 988 for free and

Dissociative Identity Disorder (DID): Symptoms, Test, Specialist DID often co-occurs with other emotional conditions, including posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD), borderline personality disorder (BPD), and a number of other personality disorders, as

What Causes Dissociative Identity Disorder (DID)? - Psych Central Dissociative identity disorder (DID) is a mental health condition with strong links to trauma, especially trauma in childhood. Understanding the causes can help you manage this

DID Explained: Symptoms, Causes, and Support - McLean Hospital DID is associated with long-term exposure to trauma, often chronic traumatic experiences during early childhood. It is often misunderstood and portrayed incorrectly in

Dissociative identity disorder - Wikipedia In controlled studies, non-specialised treatment that did not address dissociative self-states did not substantially improve DID symptoms, though there may be improvement in patients' other

Dissociative Identity Disorder (DID): Symptoms & Treatment Dissociative identity disorder (DID) is a mental health condition where you have two or more separate personalities that control your behavior at different times

Dissociative Identity Disorder (Multiple Personality Disorder) Dissociative identity disorder (DID) is a rare condition in which two or more distinct identities, or personality states, are present in—and alternately take control of—an individual

Sean 'Diddy' Combs trial live updates: Diddy sentenced to 4 years 18 hours ago Combs is scheduled to be sentenced on Friday in New York on two counts of transportation to engage in prostitution

Dissociative Identity Disorder (DID): Symptoms, Causes, and What Is Dissociative Identity Disorder? Dissociative identity disorder (DID), formerly known as multiple personality disorder, is a complex mental health condition characterized by

Dissociative Identity Disorder (DID) Explained Learn about Dissociative Identity Disorder (DID), its symptoms, treatment, and myths. Get accurate insights and expert information on this complex condition

DID: Types, Symptoms, Causes, Diagnosis, Treatment and More - Health If you or someone

you know has DID and is experiencing thoughts of suicide or self-harm, please call or text the National Suicide Prevention Lifeline at 988 for free and

Dissociative Identity Disorder (DID): Symptoms, Test, Specialist DID often co-occurs with other emotional conditions, including posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD), borderline personality disorder (BPD), and a number of other personality disorders, as

What Causes Dissociative Identity Disorder (DID)? - Psych Central Dissociative identity disorder (DID) is a mental health condition with strong links to trauma, especially trauma in childhood. Understanding the causes can help you manage this

DID Explained: Symptoms, Causes, and Support - McLean Hospital DID is associated with long-term exposure to trauma, often chronic traumatic experiences during early childhood. It is often misunderstood and portrayed incorrectly in

Dissociative identity disorder - Wikipedia In controlled studies, non-specialised treatment that did not address dissociative self-states did not substantially improve DID symptoms, though there may be improvement in patients' other

Dissociative Identity Disorder (DID): Symptoms & Treatment Dissociative identity disorder (DID) is a mental health condition where you have two or more separate personalities that control your behavior at different times

Dissociative Identity Disorder (Multiple Personality Disorder) Dissociative identity disorder (DID) is a rare condition in which two or more distinct identities, or personality states, are present in—and alternately take control of—an individual

Sean 'Diddy' Combs trial live updates: Diddy sentenced to 4 years 18 hours ago Combs is scheduled to be sentenced on Friday in New York on two counts of transportation to engage in prostitution

Dissociative Identity Disorder (DID): Symptoms, Causes, and What Is Dissociative Identity Disorder? Dissociative identity disorder (DID), formerly known as multiple personality disorder, is a complex mental health condition characterized by

Dissociative Identity Disorder (DID) Explained Learn about Dissociative Identity Disorder (DID), its symptoms, treatment, and myths. Get accurate insights and expert information on this complex condition

DID: Types, Symptoms, Causes, Diagnosis, Treatment and More - Health If you or someone you know has DID and is experiencing thoughts of suicide or self-harm, please call or text the National Suicide Prevention Lifeline at 988 for free and

Dissociative Identity Disorder (DID): Symptoms, Test, Specialist DID often co-occurs with other emotional conditions, including posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD), borderline personality disorder (BPD), and a number of other personality disorders, as

What Causes Dissociative Identity Disorder (DID)? - Psych Central Dissociative identity disorder (DID) is a mental health condition with strong links to trauma, especially trauma in childhood. Understanding the causes can help you manage this

DID Explained: Symptoms, Causes, and Support - McLean Hospital DID is associated with long-term exposure to trauma, often chronic traumatic experiences during early childhood. It is often misunderstood and portrayed incorrectly in

Dissociative identity disorder - Wikipedia In controlled studies, non-specialised treatment that did not address dissociative self-states did not substantially improve DID symptoms, though there may be improvement in patients' other

Dissociative Identity Disorder (DID): Symptoms & Treatment Dissociative identity disorder (DID) is a mental health condition where you have two or more separate personalities that control your behavior at different times

Dissociative Identity Disorder (Multiple Personality Disorder) Dissociative identity disorder (DID) is a rare condition in which two or more distinct identities, or personality states, are present in—and alternately take control of—an individual

Sean 'Diddy' Combs trial live updates: Diddy sentenced to 4 years in 18 hours ago Combs is

scheduled to be sentenced on Friday in New York on two counts of transportation to engage in prostitution

Dissociative Identity Disorder (DID): Symptoms, Causes, and Treatment What Is Dissociative Identity Disorder? Dissociative identity disorder (DID), formerly known as multiple personality disorder, is a complex mental health condition characterized by

Dissociative Identity Disorder (DID) Explained Learn about Dissociative Identity Disorder (DID), its symptoms, treatment, and myths. Get accurate insights and expert information on this complex condition

DID: Types, Symptoms, Causes, Diagnosis, Treatment and More - Health If you or someone you know has DID and is experiencing thoughts of suicide or self-harm, please call or text the National Suicide Prevention Lifeline at 988 for free and

Dissociative Identity Disorder (DID): Symptoms, Test, Specialist DID often co-occurs with other emotional conditions, including posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD), borderline personality disorder (BPD), and a number of other personality disorders, as

What Causes Dissociative Identity Disorder (DID)? - Psych Central Dissociative identity disorder (DID) is a mental health condition with strong links to trauma, especially trauma in childhood. Understanding the causes can help you manage this

DID Explained: Symptoms, Causes, and Support - McLean Hospital DID is associated with long-term exposure to trauma, often chronic traumatic experiences during early childhood. It is often misunderstood and portrayed incorrectly in

Related to when did nature vs nurture debate begin

How Nature vs. Nurture Shapes Who We Become (Verywell Mind on MSN8mon) Are we born or made this way? Short answer: It's both. Reviewed by David Susman, PhD Are we born with our personality

How Nature vs. Nurture Shapes Who We Become (Verywell Mind on MSN8mon) Are we born or made this way? Short answer: It's both. Reviewed by David Susman, PhD Are we born with our personality

Nature Versus Nurture (Runner's World11y) Would you be surprised to learn that genetically mutated mice choose to double their nightly treadmill mileage? Why aren't athletes from the Andes and Himalayas amazing distance runners? And is it

Nature Versus Nurture (Runner's World11y) Would you be surprised to learn that genetically mutated mice choose to double their nightly treadmill mileage? Why aren't athletes from the Andes and Himalayas amazing distance runners? And is it

Back to Home: <https://explore.gcts.edu>